Application No.: 10/567,434

Amendment
Art Unit: 3618

Attorney Docket No.: 062041

Art Unit: 3618 Attorney Docket No.: 062041

**REMARKS** 

Claims 1 and 4 are pending in the present application and are rejected. Claims 1 and 4

are herein amended. New claim 5 is added herein.

Applicants' Response to Double Patenting Rejections

Claims 1 and 4 were provisionally rejected on the ground of non-statutory

obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of co-pending

Application No. 10/567,435 ("the '435 application").

The Office Action states that although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

not patentably distinct from each other because an engine, a transmission, a generator/motor and

a starter motor has been set forth in claim 1 of the '435 application. The Office Action states that

the engine having a crankshaft, the transmission having an input shaft, the generator/motor

disposed at a position sandwiched between the engine and the transmission and the starter motor

has been claimed in the claims of the '435 application.

In response, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1 and 4 of the pending application

are in fact patentably distinct from claim 1 of the '435 application. Applicants note that the

Office Action does not address all elements and features of the claims, and only generally

mentions the engine, transmission, generator and starter motor.

Claims 1 and 4 of the pending application include features not recited in claim 1 of the

'435 application or obvious in view of claim 1 of the '435 application. For instance, claims 1

and 4 of the pending application recite that "the generator/motor is disposed coaxially with the

- 5 -

axis." Meanwhile, claim 1 of the '435 application recites "a generator/motor (M1) that is disposed at....a position off an axis (L) of the input shaft." Thus, the present application and the '435 application recite completely different positions for the generator/motor (M1). Therefore, for at least this reason, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1 and 4 of the pending application are patentably distinct from claim 1 of the '435 application, and that the rejection should be withdrawn. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

## Applicants' Response to Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1 and 4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Nagano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,155,364).

It is the position of the Office Action that Nagano discloses the invention as claimed. Nagano is directed at a hybrid drive system wherein a planetary gear mechanism is disposed radially inwardly of stator coil of motor/generator. Nagano includes six embodiments, each of which includes an engine 42, a first motor/generator 48, a second motor/generator (used as a starter) 44 and a planetary gear mechanism 46. The Office Action groups all of these embodiments together, despite their differing arrangements of main elements. It appears that the disclosure of Nagano may be summarized as follows:

Embodiments	Figures	Configuration
1 <sup>st</sup> Embodiment	1-7; 17	Engine-Starter-Transmission-Motor
2 <sup>nd</sup> Embodiment	8-10	Engine-Transmission-Motor-Starter
3 <sup>rd</sup> Embodiment	11-13	Engine-Starter-Motor-Transmission
4 <sup>th</sup> Embodiment	14	Engine-Starter-Motor-Transmission
5 <sup>th</sup> Embodiment	15	Engine-Starter-Transmission-Motor
6 <sup>th</sup> Embodiment	16	Engine-Starter-Transmission-Motor

Art Unit: 3618

Amendment

Attorney Docket No.: 062041

The third and fourth embodiments differ at least in the position of the chain 62. In the

third embodiment, the chain 62 is disposed adjacent to the planetary gear system, on the opposite

side of the planetary gear system relative to the motor. On the other hand, in the fourth

embodiment, the chain 62 is disposed between the motor and the starter. Since the third and

fourth embodiments are the most similar to the configuration of the claimed embodiments, these

will be discussed in the greatest detail.

The configurations of the first, second, fifth and sixth embodiments do not disclose a

motor/generator sandwiched between the transmission and the engine, as required by claims 1

and 4. As illustrated in Figures 1, 8, 15 and 16, first motor/generator 48 is not sandwiched

between planetary gear mechanism 46 and engine 42. Thus, these embodiments of Nagano do

not anticipate the invention as claimed.

As to the third and fourth embodiment of Nagano, Applicants respectfully submit that

these embodiments do not disclose or suggest the embodiments as claimed, at least because they

do not disclose or suggest the transmission as claimed. As illustrated for example in Figure 7 of

the present invention, the transmission T includes drive pulleys 29 and driven pulleys 30. Each

of these pulley sets includes a fixed pulley (29a, 30a) and a movable pulley (29b, 30b). Endless

belt 31 moves along these pulleys. When the size of the pulley gaps between pulleys 29a/29b

and 30a/30b is changed, the gear ratio is changed.

The third embodiment of Nagano includes an input shaft 164 disposed on axis O1 which

inputs into the planetary gear mechanism 46. Planetary gear mechanism 46 outputs to sprocket

50, which rotates driven sprocket 60 via chain 62. Driven sprocket 60 then causes intermediate

-7-

Art Unit: 3618

Amendment

Attorney Docket No.: 062041

shaft 166 and speed reduction gear 66 to rotate on axis O2. Speed reduction gear 66 causes speed

reduction gear 68 on second intermediate shaft 64 to rotate on axis O<sub>3</sub>. Second intermediate

shaft 64 causes output gear 70 to rotate. Output gear 70 interacts with differential gear device

72, which outputs to the drive wheels.

The Office Action identifies an output shaft as not numbered, but illustrated in Figure 1.

Since first intermediate shaft 58 and second intermediate shaft 64 are numbered in Figure 1, it

appears that the Office Action may be referring to the shaft which passes through first

motor/generator 48. However, as noted above, the embodiment of Figure 1 does not disclose the

arrangement required by claims 1 and 4. With respect to the embodiment of Figures 11-13, even

if second intermediate shaft 64 is regarded as an output shaft, this embodiment of Nagano does

not disclose or suggest the transmission as claimed.

Each of claims 1 and 4 require a transmission which "is capable of changing the gear ratio

between said drive member and said driven member." However, Nagano does not disclose or

suggest a transmission which can change the gear ratios between the drive member and the

driven member, as required by claims 1 and 4. In the third embodiment of Nagano, power is

transmitted from the input shaft, through the planetary gear system to an intermediate shaft and

ultimately to an output gear. All of the gear ratios along this power transmission are fixed.

Nagano does not disclose or suggest variable gear ratios.

As to the fourth embodiment, illustrated in Figure 14, Applicants reiterate similar remarks

as to the third embodiment. The only significant difference between the third and fourth

embodiments is the location of the chain 62. The fourth embodiment also does not disclose or

- 8 -

Art Unit: 3618

Amendment

Attorney Docket No.: 062041

suggest variable gear ratios. Therefore, for at least the above reasons, Applicants respectfully

submit that Nagano does not disclose or suggest the embodiments as claimed. Favorable

reconsideration is respectfully requested.

New claims

The pending claims only recite the embodiments of Figures 7-10. As such, Applicants

herein add new claim 5 reciting the embodiment of Figures 1-6 (not including a starter M2).

Applicants respectfully submit that this embodiment is not disclosed or suggested by the cited

art, at least since the cited art does not disclose a transmission including changeable gear ratios.

Favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

For at least the foregoing reasons, the claimed invention distinguishes over the cited art

and defines patentable subject matter. Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner deem that any further action by applicants would be desirable to

place the application in condition for allowance, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone

applicants' undersigned attorney.

-9-

Art Unit: 3618

Amendment

Attorney Docket No.: 062041

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Ryan B. Chirnomas Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 56,527

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

RBC/nrp